

TO: The Honorable Board of Supervisors

FROM: B. Clayton Goodman, III, County Administrator  
L. Carol Edmonds, Assistant County Administrator

DATE: December 15, 2008

**SUBJECT: AGENDA REPORT**

---

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

**II. INTO CLOSED MEETING**

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby enters into Closed Meeting for the purpose of discussing the following:

Section 2.2-3711

- (3) Discussion or Consideration of the Acquisition of Real Property for Public Purpose, or of the Disposition of Publicly Held Real Property, Where Discussion in an Open Meeting Would Adversely Affect the Bargaining Position or Negotiating Strategy of the Public Body

- 1. Montgomery County Government Center
- 2. Courthouse Property
- 3. Prices Fork Elementary School Site

- (7) Consultation with Legal Counsel and Briefings from Staff Members or Consultants Pertaining to Actual or Probable Litigation, Where Such Consultation or Briefing in Open Meeting Would Adversely Affect the Negotiating or Litigating Posture of the Public Body; and Consultation with Legal Counsel Employed or Retained by a Public Body Regarding Specific Legal Matters Requiring Provision of Legal Advice by Such Counsel

- 1. Joinder Study

- (1) Discussion, Consideration or Interviews of Prospective Candidates for Employment; Assignment, Appointment, Promotion, Performance, Demotion, Salaries, Disciplining or Resignation of Specific Officers, Appointees or Employees of Any Public Body

1. Parks & Recreation Commission
2. Planning Commission
3. Towing Advisory Board
4. Workforce Investment Board
5. Personnel

### **III. OUT OF CLOSED MEETING**

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors ends their Closed Meeting to return to Regular Session.

### **IV. CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING**

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County has convened a Closed Meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby certifies that to the best of each member's knowledge (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion conveying the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board.

VOTE

AYES

NAYS

ABSENT DURING VOTE

ABSENT DURING MEETING

**V. INVOCATION**

**VI. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**VII. PRESENTATION S**

**A. SUBJECT: 2007 VIRGINIA LAW ENFORCEMENT  
CHALLENGE AWARD – SHERIFF’S OFFICE**

**2007 Virginia Law Enforcement Challenge Award**

Don Allen, Virginia Chiefs’ of Police Association, and Steve Goodwin, Department of Motor Vehicle Highway Safety Office, will present the 2007 Virginia Law Enforcement Challenge Award to the Montgomery County Sheriff’s Office.

The Sheriff’s Office won first place in Virginia in the Sheriff’s category of 76-125 deputies. The Law Enforcement Challenge Award recognizes and rewards the best overall traffic safety programs in Virginia and the United States.

**B. SUBJECT: VIRGINIA LAW ENFORCEMENT  
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
COMMISSION (VALEPSC) –  
MONTGOMERY COUNTY SHERIFF’S  
OFFICE REACCREDITATION AWARD**

**Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission –  
Reaccreditation Award**

A representative from the Virginia Law Enforcement Professional Standards Commission will present the Montgomery County Sheriff’s Office with a certificate of reaccreditation.

The Montgomery County Sheriff’s Office was initially accredited in 1999 and was reaccredited in 2004. The accreditation process requires that law enforcement agencies meet 180 required standards for professionalism for law enforcement officers.

## VIII. PUBLIC HEARINGS

### A. SUBJECT: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The following public hearing was advertised pursuant to law in the Current Section of the Roanoke Times on November 30, 2008 and December 7, 2008:

1. Boundary Line Adjustment – Town of Christiansburg  
**Boundary Line Adjustment Agreement with the Town of Christiansburg, providing for the adjustment of the boundary of the Town of Christiansburg's corporate limits to include approximately 153.375 acres of land located at the southwest boundary of the Town of Christiansburg adjacent to Buffalo Drive and Mud Pike Road commonly known as the former Harkrader Property, the Christiansburg Middle School Property and the Harkrader Sports Complex Property. (See TAB A )**

The following public hearings were advertised pursuant to law in the Current Section of the Roanoke Times on November 26 and December 3, 2008:

2. An Ordinance – Amending Chapter 10, Entitled Zoning, Section 10-61  
**An Ordinance Amending Chapter 10 Entitled Zoning, Section 10-61, Definitions, of The Code of The County of Montgomery By Amending The Definitions For Home Business and Home Occupation by Allowing Such Activity in the Dwelling and in the Accessory Structures and by adding Boarding House and Transition House as defined words, terms or phrases in the Zoning Ordinance. ( See TAB B )**
3. An Ordinance Amending Chapter 10 Entitled Zoning, Section 10-28 and 10-29  
**An ordinance amending Chapter 10 entitled Zoning, Section 10-28, General Business (GB), and Section 10-29, Community Business (CB) of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia by adding Boarding House and Transition House as uses permitted by right in the General Business and Community Business Districts. ( See TAB C )**
4. An Ordinance Amending Chapter 10 Entitled Zoning, Section 10-21 through 10-27  
**An ordinance amending Chapter 10 entitled Zoning, Section 10-21, Agriculture District (A1), Section 10-24, Residential District (R-1), Section 10-25, Residential District (R-2), Section 10-26, Residential District (R-3) and Section 10-27, Multiple Family Residential District (RM-1) of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia by adding Boarding House and Transition House as uses permitted with a special use permit in the Agriculture District (A-1), Residential District (R-1), Residential District (R-2), Residential District (R-3) and the Multiple Family Residential District (RM-1). ( See TAB D )**

5. An Ordinance amending Chapter 10 entitled Zoning, Section 10-35  
**An Ordinance amending Chapter 10 entitled Zoning, Section 10-35 PUD-RES Planned Unit Development-Residential District of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, by reducing the minimum required acreage to qualify for inclusion in the PUD-RES Planned Unit Development-Residential District from 50 acres to 10 acres for lands designated in the urban expansion area and from 20 acres to 5 acres for lands designated in the village or village expansion area. ( See TAB E )**
  
6. Special Use Permit – Roland S. Wright, Jr. and Mary H. Wright  
**A request by Roland S. Wright, Jr. & Mary H. Wright for a Special Use Permit (SUP) on approximately 0.511 acres in a Residential (R-3) zoning district to allow a Manufactured Home, Class A (doublewide). The property is located at 3850 Poppy Lane, and is identified as Tax Parcel No(s). 127-8-7B (Acct No. 140688, in the Riner Magisterial District (District D). The property currently lies in an area designated as Rural in the Comprehensive Plan. ( See TAB F )**
  
7. Special Use Permit – Riverbend Water Company – Home Business  
**A request by Riverbend Water Company for a Special Use Permit (SUP) on approximately 4.006 acres in an Agricultural (A-1) zoning district to allow a home business. The property is located at 895 Union Valley Road, and is identified as Tax Parcel No(s). 120-A-37H (Acct No. 080602), in the Riner Magisterial District (District D). The property currently lies in an area designated as Resource Stewardship in the Comprehensive Plan. ( See TAB G )**
  
8. Special Use Permit – Riverbend Water Company- Accessory Building  
**A request by Riverbend Water Company for a Special Use Permit (SUP) on approximately 4.006 acres in an Agricultural (A-1) zoning district to allow an accessory structure greater than 1,200 square feet in area and 18 feet in height. The property is located at 895 Union Valley Road, and is identified as Tax Parcel No(s). 120-A-37H (Acct No. 080602), in the Riner Magisterial District (District D). The property currently lies in an area designated as Resource Stewardship in the Comprehensive Plan. ( See TAB H )**

**IX. PUBLIC ADDRESS**

**X. ADDENDUM**

**XI. CONSENT AGENDA**

**XII. OLD BUSINESS**

**A. SUBJECT: ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2,  
DIVISION 5, ENTITLED TAX EXEMPTIONS  
AND DEFERRALS FOR ELDERLY AND  
HANDICAPPED**

**ORD-FY-09-  
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 2, DIVISION 5  
ENTITLED TAX EXEMPTIONS AND DEFERRALS  
FOR ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED,  
SECTION 2-107 OF THE CODE OF THE  
COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY, VIRGINIA  
BY INCREASING THE INCOME ELIGIBILITY LIMITS  
FOR EXEMPTION FROM OR DEFERRAL  
OF TAXATION OF REAL ESTATE  
FOR THE ELDERLY AND DISABLED**

BE IT ORDAINED, By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, that Chapter 2, Division 5, Section 2-107 of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, shall be amended and reordained as follows:

**Sec. 2-107. Established; restrictions and conditions.**

(a) The board of supervisors of the county hereby provides for the exemption from or deferral of taxation of real estate, and manufactured homes as defined in Code of Virginia, section 36-85.3, or any portion thereof, owned by and occupied as the sole dwelling of a person not less than sixty-five (65) years of age, and providing the same exemption for such property of a person who is determined to be permanently and totally disabled as provided in subsection (e) of this section, subject to the following restrictions and conditions:

(1) That the total combined income during the immediately preceding calendar year from all sources of the owners of the dwelling living therein and of the owners' relatives living in the dwelling does not exceed ~~thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000.00)~~ thirty-five thousand (\$35,000) provided that the first ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) of income of each relative other than the spouse of the owner who is living in the dwelling and the first ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) of income for an owner who is permanently disabled shall not be included in such total.

(2) That the net combined financial worth, including the present value of all equitable interests, as of December thirty-first of the immediately preceding calendar year, of the owners, and of the spouse of any owner, excluding the value of the dwelling and furnishings in the dwelling including furniture, household appliances and other items typically used in a home and the land, not exceeding one (1) acre, upon which it is situated does not exceed One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000).

(3) That the person or persons claiming such exemption files annually no later than the first day of March of the taxable year with the commissioner of the revenue of the county, on forms to be supplied by the county, an affidavit or written statement setting forth the names of the related persons occupying such real estate; that the total combined net worth, including equitable interests, and the combined income from all sources of the person as specified in paragraph (1) of this subsection does not exceed the limits prescribed in this section. If such person is under sixty-five (65) years of age, such form shall have attached thereto a certification by the Social Security Administration, the Department of Veterans Affairs, or the Railroad Retirement Board, or if such person is not eligible for certification by any of these agencies, a sworn affidavit by two (2) medical doctors who are either licensed to practice medicine in the commonwealth or who are military officers on active duty who practice medicine with the United States Armed Forces, to the effect that such person is permanently and totally disabled as defined in subsection (e); however, a certification pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 4-23(d) by the Social Security Administration so long as the person remains eligible for such Social Security benefits shall be deemed to satisfy such definition in subsection (e). The affidavit of at least one of the doctors shall be based upon a physical examination of the person by such doctor. The affidavit of one of the doctors may be based upon medical information contained in the records of the Civil Service Commission which is relevant to the standards for determining permanent and total disability as defined in subsection (e). Such certification, written statement, or affidavit shall be filed after the first day of January of each year, but before the first day of April of each year, for the permanently and totally disabled, for hardship cases, and for the first time applicants. The commissioner of the revenue has the discretion to accept late filings of first time applicants or for hardship cases until the thirty-first day of December of the taxable year. The commissioner of the revenue of the county shall make any other reasonably necessary inquiry of persons seeking such exemption, requiring answers under oath to determine qualifications as specified in this section including, qualifications as permanently and totally disabled as defined in subsection (e) and qualification for the exclusion of life insurance benefits paid upon the death of an owner of a dwelling. The commissioner of the revenue of the county is hereby empowered, in addition to require the production of certified tax returns to establish the income or financial worth of any applicant for tax relief or deferral.

(b) Such exemptions may be granted for any year following the date that the qualifying individual occupying such dwelling and owning title, or partial title, thereto reaches the age of sixty-five (65) years or for any year following the date the disability occurred. Changes in respect to income, financial worth, ownership of property or other factors occurring during the taxable year for which the affidavit is filed, and having the effect of exceeding or violating the limitations and conditions provided in this section shall nullify any exemption or deferral for the remainder of the current taxable year and the taxable year immediately following. The amount of exemption of the real estate tax for qualified persons shall be determined by the following table:

<i>Annual Income (Calendar Year)</i>	<i>For Qualified Persons the Percentage of Tax Which May Be Exempted</i>
\$ 0.00- <del>\$19,200</del> <u>\$22,400</u>	100%
<u>\$19,201-\$24,000</u> <u>\$22,401 – \$28,000</u>	60%
<u><del>\$ 24,001-\$30,000</del></u> <u>\$28,001 – \$35,000</u>	40%

(c) The person or persons qualifying for and claiming deferral shall be relieved of real estate tax liability levied on the qualifying dwelling and land up to an amount equal to one hundred (100) percent of this liability, the amount to be deferred to be elected by the claimant. If a deferral of real estate taxes, the accumulated amount of taxes deferred shall be paid without penalty or interest to the county by the vendor upon the sale of the dwelling, or from the estate of the decedent within one (1) year after the death of the last owner thereof who qualified for tax deferral by the provisions of this section. Such deferred real estate taxes shall constitute a lien upon such real estate as if they had been assessed without regard to the deferral permitted by this section. Any such lien shall, to the extent that it exceeds in the aggregate ten (10) percent of the price for which such real estate may be sold, be inferior to all other liens of record.

(d) The board of supervisors of the county hereby deems those persons falling within the limits and conditions provided in subsections (a) and (b) of this section to bearing an extraordinary tax burden on the real estate described in this section in relation to their income and financial worth.

(e) For the purposes of this division, a person is permanently and totally disabled if he or she is so certified as required in paragraph (a)(3) of this section and is found by the commissioner of the revenue of the county under paragraph (a)(3) to be unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment or deformity which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for the duration of such person's life.



This change in income limits shall be effective for the 2009 tax year and beyond unless amended.

JUSTIFICATION: To amend the Tax Relief Ordinance for the Elderly and Disabled. A public hearing was held on November 24, 2008, with no speakers.

**B. SUBJECT: VDOT'S PROPOSED ACCESS  
MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS**

**R-FY-09-  
VDOT'S PROPOSED ACCESS  
MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS**

WHEREAS, The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) has proposed regulations and standards to manage the location, number, spacing and design of entrances, intersections, median openings, turn lanes, and traffic signals on VDOT controlled minor arterials, collectors, and local streets; and

WHEREAS, These regulations would apply to entrances and exits for commercial, industrial and residential developments but would not affect private driveway entrances from state highways to individual residences; and

WHEREAS, A presentation was made to the Board of Supervisors on November 24, 2008 and VDOT invited questions and comments from the Board concerning the proposed access management regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby submits the following questions concerning the administration of the proposed regulations:

1. What will process be for eliminating "excess" entrances at existing sites and businesses? Will VDOT begin closing them after October 2009?
2. Is VDOT going to require the cross parcel connections? Will VDOT review documents such as plat, site plan and lease/deed to ensure language is appropriate to guarantee connection?
3. Will VDOT be proactively removing crossovers that do not meet new criteria after October 2009?
4. Does VDOT have time limits to respond to requests for entrance permits?
5. What defines "Urban Area"?

6. If a locality has an existing corridor plan with different spacing requirements or is considering developing a new corridor plan, does VDOT need to approve? If so, what is process for obtaining the approval of VDOT?
7. How will VDOT handle spacing issues near Town/County boundaries since Towns are not subject to the same requirements?
8. How will VDOT coordinate reviews and approvals of projects that may involve Chapter 527 review, Access Management and subdivision street review? Will the local VDOT residency have the staff to review and comment on all these regulations? Will the review be coordinated?

ISSUE/PURPOSE: Send comments to VDOT regarding their proposed Access Management Regulations.

JUSTIFICATION: A VDOT representative made a presentation to the BoS at their November 24, 2008 meeting about VDOT's proposed access management regulations that are scheduled to take effect October 1, 2009. As a result of the presentation, Planning Director Steve Sandy provided the comments and questions listed in the above resolution.

### **XIII. NEW BUSINESS**

#### **A. SUBJECT: FOREST HILLS AT BELVIEW REVITALIZATION AREA**

#### **R-FY-09 RESOLUTION DESIGNATING THE PROPERTY AT FOREST HILLS AT BELVIEW A REVITALIZATION AREA**

WHEREAS, The Forest Hills at Belview, Limited Partnership (hereinafter referred to as "the Owner") owns property designated as Tax Map Parcels 064-A-42, 064-A-42A and 064-A-41E (together referred to as "the Property") along Route 114 in the Belview area of Montgomery County, Virginia; and

WHEREAS, The Owner intends to develop a single family attached affordable housing development project on the Property using the Tax Credit Program with the Virginia Housing Development Authority (VHDA); and

WHEREAS, The Property is located within the Belview Village in the Montgomery County 2025 Comprehensive Plan and encourages the development of affordable housing opportunities that are affordable to a wide range of individuals and families, including seniors; and

WHEREAS, The Owner has requested the County of Montgomery to designate the Property a revitalization area in order to assist the Owner in its application to Virginia Housing Development Authority seeking Tax Credit approval; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors have determined that the Owner's proposed affordable housing development project will provide an economic benefit to the area by revitalizing the existing affordable housing and by providing additional affordable housing on the Property which is needed to induce community development in the area and that private enterprise and investment are not reasonably expected without assistance from the Virginia Housing Development Authority to produce the construction of decent, safe sanitary housing that will meet the affordable housing needs in the area.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, that the Board of Supervisors hereby designate the Property that encompasses the Forest Hills at Belview affordable housing project designated more particularly as Tax Map Parcels 064-A-42, 064-A-42A and 064-A-41E as a revitalization area for the sole purpose of enabling the Owner to qualify for points when applying for tax credit financing approval under the Virginia Housing Development tax credit application.

ISSUE/PURPOSE: Resolution designating the Forest Hills at Belview property a revitalization area.

JUSTIFICATION: The owner of the Forest Hills at Belview property has requested the Board of Supervisors to designate the property a revitalization area in order to assist the Owner in its application to Virginia Housing Development Authority seeking Tax Credit approval. See TAB     O     for a copy of the Revitalization Area Certification.

**B. SUBJECT: REQUEST TO VDOT TO NOT REMOVE  
PROJECTS ALREADY IN THE FY 2009-2014  
SIX-YEAR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR  
INTERSTATE AND PRIMARY ROADS**

**R-FY-09-  
REQUEST TO VDOT TO NOT REMOVE  
PROJECTS ALREADY IN THE FY 2009-2014  
SIX-YEAR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM  
FOR INTERSTATE AND PRIMARY ROADS**

WHEREAS, The current Six-Year Improvement Program for Interstate and Primary Roads includes a project to add additional lanes on I-81 between Exit 128 and Exit 118 in Montgomery County; and

WHEREAS, The current Six-Year Improvement Program for Interstate and Primary Roads also includes a project to replace the west bound bridge on U.S. Route 114; and

WHEREAS, Due to reductions in state transportation revenues, the Commonwealth Transportation Board plans to revise the FY 2009-2014 Six-Year Improvement Program in January 2009.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County hereby respectfully requests that the critically needed projects listed above not be removed from the FY 2009-2014 Six-Year Improvement Plan for Interstate and Primary Roads.

ISSUE/PURPOSE: Resolution requesting that VDoT not remove the I-81 climbing lanes and the 114 bridge replacement projects from the current 6-Year plan.

JUSTIFICATION: VDoT has scheduled a public hearing for the purpose of revising their current (FY09) Six-Year Improvement Program (SYIP) for Interstate and Primary Roads. The hearing is scheduled for Monday, January 5, 2009 at 6:00 p.m. at the New River Community College in Dublin. The Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) plans to downsize (remove projects) from their current 6-Year Plan. The above resolution asks that projects already in the plan not be removed. See TAB P for copy of a letter from Richard Caywood, VDoT District Administrator, and a copy of VDoT's public hearing schedule.

The CTB will hold another public hearing in the Spring of 2009 for their FY 2010 plan (formerly called "Preallocation Hearing"). That would be the appropriate time for the BoS to submit a resolution requesting new projects be added, such as upgrades to Route 8 and 114.

**C. SUBJECT: APPROPRIATION OF BOND INTEREST  
AND AUTHORIZATION OF FUNDING FOR  
AUBURN SCHOOL PROJECT**

**A-FY-09-  
APPROPRIATION OF BOND INTEREST  
AND AUTHORIZATION OF FUNDING FOR  
AUBURN SCHOOL PROJECT**

BE IT RESOLVED, That the School Capital Projects Fund was granted an appropriation in addition to the annual appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009 for the function and in the amount as follows:

6622	BHS Athletic Stadium	\$462,879
------	----------------------	-----------

The source of the funds for the foregoing appropriation is as follows:

Revenue Account

415102	Interest Income	\$462,879
--------	-----------------	-----------

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That a transfer between projects is granted for the function and in the amount as follows:

FROM:

6622	BHS Athletic Stadium	(\$300,000)
------	----------------------	-------------

TO:

6619	Auburn Strand Project	\$300,000
------	-----------------------	-----------

Said resolution appropriates interest earned on athletic field bond proceeds to the BHS Athletic Stadium and transfers funds appropriated from the 2008 bond issuance from the BHS Athletic Stadium to the Auburn School project.

**ISSUE/PURPOSE:** Appropriate bond interest and authorize funding for Auburn School project.

**JUSTIFICATION:**

This resolution appropriates interest earned on bond proceeds issued in 2003 for school athletic fields, which reduces the amount of 2008 bond proceeds needed for the BHS Athletic Field. The School Board requests that up to \$400,000 of the 2008 bond proceeds be used to begin the planning process for the Auburn strand schools. See TAB **Q** for copy of a letter from MCPS Superintendent.

Based on discussions between County and School staff, the actual amount needed at this time is \$300,000. The resolution then transfers the surplus of \$300,000 from the BHS Athletic Field for use on the Auburn Strand project.

2003 Athletic Field Bond Proceeds	\$5,000,000
2008 Bond Proceeds for BHS stadium	\$1,600,000
Interest earned on 2003 Bond Proceeds	\$ 462,879
Amount due from Kipps Farm LLC for portion of detention pond paid for by schools	<u>\$ 93,506</u>
Funds available for athletic fields	\$7,156,385
Cost of Field Projects paid to date	\$6,679,092
Estimated cost to complete	<u>\$ 158,000</u>
Total cost	\$6,837,092
<b>Surplus available for transfer</b>	<b>\$ 319,293</b>

**XIV. INTO WORK SESSION**

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby enters into Work Session for the purpose of discussing the following:

1. Financial Status ( TAB **R** )
2. Land Use Map Amendments

**XV. OUT OF WORK SESSION**

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors ends their Work Session to return to Regular Session.

**XVI. COUNTY ATTORNEY'S REPORT**

**XVII. COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT**

**XVIII. BOARD MEMBERS' REPORT**

1. Supervisor Brown
2. Supervisor Marrs
3. Supervisor Biggs
4. Supervisor Politis
5. Supervisor Muffo
6. Supervisor Creed
7. Supervisor Perkins

**XIX. OTHER BUSINESS**

**XX. ADJOURNMENT**

**FUTURE MEETINGS**

Regular Meeting  
Monday, January 12, 2008  
6:00 p.m. – Closed Meeting Items  
7:15 p.m. Regular Agenda